

# Matrix Analysis: Spectral theorems

Jean-Luc Bouchot

School of Mathematics and Statistics  
Beijing Institute of Technology  
jlbouchot@bit.edu.cn

2018/11/18

# 1 Spectral theorems

# Outline

## 1 Spectral theorems

## Definition 2.1

A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  is called **normal** if it commutes with its conjugate transpose:  $A^* A = A A^*$ .

### Example 2.1

This concept generalizes known matrices:

- Symmetric matrices
- Unitary and orthogonal matrices
- Skew-symmetric matrices

## Exercise 2.1

Is the following matrix normal? Unitary? Orthogonal? Symmetric? Skew-symmetric?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Conclude that set of normal matrices is a strictly bigger set than the previous examples.

### Proposition 2.1

*Show that a square matrix  $A$  is triangular and normal if and only if  $A$  is diagonal.*

### Theorem 2.1 (Spectral theorem for normal matrices)

*Let  $A$  be a squared normal matrix. Then there exists an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.*



### Remark 2.1

The existence of an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors is equivalent to the diagonalizability of  $A$  by a unitary matrix. Indeed, let  $V = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n]$  be the matrix containing the  $n$  orthogonal (and normalized!) eigenvectors with respective eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Then

- 1  $V$  is unitary (see theorem before Schur's triangularization)
- 2  $AV = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)V$ .

Note that the converse in the previous theorem is obviously true.

### Definition 2.2

*A is said to be unitarily diagonalizable if it is diagonalizable via a unitary matrix.*

### Exercise 2.2

Are all diagonalizable matrices unitary diagonalizable?

### Corollary 2.1

*Let  $A$  be a squared normal matrix and let  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be two eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Then  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  (where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard Euclidean inner product).*

### Remark 2.2

Finding the spectral decomposition of a normal matrix is *easy*:

- 1 Find the eigenvalues of the matrix
- 2 Find an orthogonal basis of the eigenspaces  $\text{Ker}(A - \lambda)$  for all eigenvalues  $\lambda$  (this can be done by finding linearly independent eigenvectors, and then applying Gram-Schmidt)
- 3 Stack all the vectors together, keeping the diagonal entries of the diagonal matrix in the right order!

## Exercise 2.3

Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . Diagonalize  $A$  and verify the orthogonality of the eigenvectors.

Remark: This is a matrix describing the motion of a system of springs of similar length and resistance, and attached to the same masses.

## Exercise 2.4

Find an orthogonal matrix  $U$  such that  $U^T A U$  is diagonal, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

### Theorem 2.2

*Special normal matrices are easy to recognize: Let  $A$  be a normal matrix. The followings hold*

- *$A$  is Hermitian ( $A^* = A$ ) if and only if  $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .*
- *$A$  is skew-symmetric ( $A^* = -A$ ) if and only if  $\sigma(A) \subset i\mathbb{R}$  (i.e. for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ ,  $\Re(\lambda) = 0$ )*
- *$A$  is unitary ( $AA^* = I$ ) if and only if  $|\lambda| = 1$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ .*



### Exercise 2.5

Characterize all the normal matrices which are also nilpotent.

### Theorem 2.3

*Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  be two commuting square matrices and assume that  $A$  is normal. Then  $A^*$  commutes with  $B$ .*

### Corollary 2.2

*Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are two normal commuting matrices. Then  $AB$  is also normal.*

## Exercise 2.6

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Define two quadratic forms  $Q_1, Q_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$Q_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}$$

$$Q_2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T (A + A^T) \mathbf{x}.$$

Show that  $Q_1(\mathbf{x}) = Q_2(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 2.3**

Let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  be a Hermitian matrix. The scalar function

$$Q : \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{K}^n & \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ \mathbf{x} & \mapsto \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \end{array}$$

is called a **complex quadratic form**.

### Theorem 2.4

Let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1  $A$  is Hermitian.
- 2  $\mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ , for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}^n$ .
- 3  $A^* A = A A^*$  and  $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .
- 4  $S^* A S$  is Hermitian for all  $S \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ .

### Definition 2.4

Let  $A$  be a diagonal matrix. The complex quadratic form defined as  $Q_A(\mathbf{x}) = \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$  is called a **diagonal complex quadratic form**.

### Exercise 2.7

What are the general formula (based on the coordinates of the vectors and entries of the matrices) for a complex quadratic form and a diagonal form?



### Proposition 2.2

*Every complex quadratic form can be transformed into a diagonal quadratic form.*

### Proposition 2.3

Let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  and let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be its eigenvalues enumerated such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ . (Note: This ordering is possible since the eigenvalues are real!) Then (see homework)

$$\lambda_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq Q_A(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_n \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

## Exercise 2.8

Let  $A$  be a Hermitian matrix. Prove the inequality

$$\lambda_1 \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \leq Q_A(\mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_n \|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2.$$

### Exercise 2.9

When do you get equalities in the previous proposition?

### Theorem 2.5 (Courant-Fisher)

Let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  be a Hermitian matrix and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then

$$\lambda_k = \min_{\dim(V)=k} \max_{\mathbf{x} \in V; \|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \max_{\dim(V)=n-k+1} \min_{\mathbf{x} \in V; \|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle.$$

**Theorem 2.6 (Weyl)**

*Let  $A, B$  be two  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices. Then*

$$\lambda_k(A) + \lambda_1(B) \leq \lambda_k(A + B) \leq \lambda_k(A) + \lambda_n(B).$$